	Case 2:24-mc-00413-WBS-SCR	Document 9	Filed 03/13/25	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	00000				
11					
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		No. 2:21-cr-16	54 WBS	
13	Plaintiff,				
14	V.		ORDER RELATING	G CASES	
15	ROBERT KIRBY WELLS,			<u> </u>	
16	Defendant.				
17					
18	BMO BANK, N.A.,		No. 2:24-mc-35	52 WBS SCR	
19	Garnishee,				
20	ROBINHOOD FINANCIAL, LLC		No. 2:24-mc-35	33 WBS SCR	
21	ROBINHOOD SECURITIES, LLC	2,			
22	Garnishees,				
23	NORTH AMERICAN COMPANY FO	OR LIFE	No. 2:24-mc-35	34 WBS SCR	
24	AND HEALTH INSURANCE,				
25	Garnishee,				
26	FARMERS INSURANCE FEDERAI	٠	No. 2:24-mc-35	6 WBS SCR	
27	CREDIT UNION,				
28	Garnishee,	1			
		1			

1

2

ALLIANZ LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA CREDIT UNION,

Garnishee,

3 4

5

6

ASSOCIATED INSURANCE BROKERS, INC., DBA PACIFIC INTERSTATE INSURANCE BROKER AND TRANSAMERICA RETIREMENT ADVISORS, LLCALLIANZ LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA CREDIT UNION,

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

No. 2:24-mc-413 WBS JDP

No. 2:24-mc-414 WBS JDP

10 ----00000----

Garnishee,

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a), because the actions involve the same parties and are based on the same claim, as the United States seeks writs of garnishment in the civil miscellaneous cases to enforce the criminal restitution order against defendant in the criminal case. Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judges is likely to effect a saving of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that both actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated United States v. Wells, Case No. 2:21-cr-164 WBS; United States v. Wells, Case No. 2:24-mc-352 WBS SCR; United States v. Wells,

## Case 2:24-mc-00413-WBS-SCR Document 9 Filed 03/13/25 Page 3 of 3

1	Case No. 2:24-mc-353 WBS SCR; <u>United States v. Wells</u> , Case No.			
2	2:24-mc-354 WBS SCR; United States v. Wells, Case No. 2:24-mc-356			
3	WBS SCR; United States v. Wells, Case No. 2:24-mc-413 WBS JDP,			
4	and <u>United States v. Wells</u> , Case No. 2:24-mc-414 WBS JDP, be, and			
5	the same hereby are, deemed related. The cases denominated			
6	United States v. Wells, Case No. 2:24-mc-413 WBS JDP, and United			
7	States v. Wells, Case No. 2:24-mc-414 WBS JDP, shall be assigned			
8	to Judge WILLIAM B. SHUBB and Magistrate Judge SEAN C. RIORDAN.			
9	Any dates currently set in the reassigned cases only are hereby			
10	VACATED. Henceforth, the captions on documents filed in the			
11	reassigned cases shall be shown as <u>United States v. Wells</u> , Case			
12	No. 2:24-mc-413 WBS SCR, and <u>United States v. Wells</u> , Case No.			
13	2:24-mc-414 WBS SCR.			
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make			
15	an appropriate adjustment in the assignment of cases to			
16	compensate for this reassignment.			
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
18	Dated: March 13, 2025			
19	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
20	CHILD STATES DISTRICT FODGE			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				